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One goal: Foster discussion among sectors (academic, business, government) and application areas

1. Common ground in theory, methodology, implementation and communication

2. “Lessons learned;” unification in concepts and theory where warranted
This Session

Two very interesting papers: Many thanks to speakers

Goals of discussion:

1. Brief review of some statistical approaches and challenges in the social sciences and economics

2. A few highlights in potential applications and extensions of concepts and methods from main presentations

3. Questions for speakers and audience
Related Suggestion

1. Current issues (transparency, reproducibility, “big data” controversies) are part of a broad societal reconsideration of statistical information, and attendant issues of quality and risk, defined broadly.

2. Decades-long, very noisy
   - Consistent with patterns from other cases of “adoption and diffusion of technology”

3. Heartily support vigorous, thoughtful and wide-ranging engagement by statistical profession.
I. *Some Statistical Approaches and Challenges in the Social Sciences*

A. Natural and Symbiotic Relationship Between

1. Data (sources and collection tools)

2. Goals and methods for analysis and inference
1. Social Sciences (Continued)

B. Some Approaches: Spectrum of Concepts and Methods, Sometimes Field-Specific

1. Traditional sample surveys

2. Administrative records and other forms of observational data

3. Alternative data sources
I. Social Sciences (Continued)

C. Traditional sample surveys (e.g., Hansen et al., 1953; Cochran, 1977; Fuller, 1999; many others):

1. High degree of design control, replicability

2. Specification of
   - Target population(s), parameter(s)
   - Components of uncertainty considered in inference
3. Total Survey Error: An Estimator-Focused Approach:

\[
(\text{Estimator}) - (\text{True value}) = (\text{frame error}) + (\text{sampling error}) + (\text{nonresponse effects}) + (\text{measurement error}) + (\text{processing effects})
\]

Andersen et al. (1979), Groves (1989), Weisberg (2005), Biemer (2010), Lyberg (2012), Kenett and Shmueli (2014), many others
I. Social Sciences (Continued)

4. Additional challenges (note common ground with main speakers): Quality of inference

   a. Simple tabular reports

   b. Model fitting (varying degrees of rigor in checks)

   c. Highly exploratory analyses by some stakeholders
      - Temporal or spatial “trends
      - Subpopulation or predictor effects
I. Social Sciences (Continued)

D. Administrative Records and Other Forms of Observational Data

1. Data: Extensions of TSE models to non-survey settings, e.g.,

FCSM (1980, SPWP #6), Herzog et al. (2007)
Iwig et al. (2013, Data Quality Assessment Tool)
I. Social Sciences (Continued)

2. Analysis and Inference

   a. Generally move well beyond traditional design-based approaches

   b. Meta-analysis

   c. Strong interest in policy analysis areas
I. Social Sciences (Continued)

E. Alternative Data Sources: “Big,” “Non-designed” or “Organic Data” (Groves, 2011, 2013; Couper, 2013):
   - Generated for non-statistical purposes
   - Limited (or no) “design control”
   - Often “tall and thin” = “variable poor”

   a. Specialized admin (taxes, regulation, benefits)
      Ex: Automobile titles (transactions & tax)

   b. Commercial transactions
      Ex: Subscription lists
I. Social Sciences (Continued)

c. Internal corporate files (with informed consent)
   Ex: Employment, wage, benefit and price files

d. Web-scraped data on product features, prices

e. Social media
   Ex: Unemployment, job openings (Shapiro, 2014)

f. Search engine results
   Ex: Disease outbreaks (Google flu)
   Ex: Demographics (Cressie et al., 2013)
II. Potential Applications and Extensions from Main Presentations

A. Ryan and Madigan

1. Definition of “quality of evidence”
   - Insights into potential extensions of traditional multi-dimensional definition of “survey quality” (e.g., Brackstone, 1999):
     - Qualitative (timeliness, relevance, comparability, coherence and accessibility)
     - Quantitative (TSE, multiple comparisons)
II. Potential Applications and Extensions (Continued)

2. Deeper consideration of operating characteristics of analysis methods, as used by stakeholders

   a. Sensitivity to “analytic design”

   b. Methods for “empirical calibration”

   - Extensions to account for (and assess sensitivity to) features of data sources (sample design, TSE components)
c. “Clinical characterization”

Per Brick (2011), contrast between studies focused on, respectively,

a large vector of prospective estimands (e.g., most government surveys)

or one high-priority outcome

d. “Population-level estimation”
II. Potential Applications and Extensions (Continued)

e. “Reframe the patient-level prediction problem”

i. Somewhat similar challenges encountered in evaluations for employment (training effects, wage rates, criminal justice, education

ii. Complications for social sciences:
   - Social-science predictors often less well identified, measured
   - Predictive power of models often very limited
II. Potential Applications and Extensions (Continued)

B. lzem

1. “Surveillance system”

   a. Alignment of rates for:

      - True change in population (level, proportion)

      - Detection of change

      - Prospective intervention and impact thereof
II. Potential Applications and Extensions (Continued)

1. “Surveillance system” (continued)

b. Rare outcomes: Alignment of data sources and statistical methods with specific types of rare events
   - Concentrated within subpopulation(s) that are identifiable a priori?
   - Extent to which potential “network effects” are understood a priori, or at least anticipated?
II. Potential Applications and Extensions (Continued)

2. Integration of Multiple Data Sources
   a. Motivation for data sharing
   b. Commonality in data management
   c. Comparability of data; possible differences in some dimensions of data quality

- Compare notes with Federal Statistical System Research Data Centers, NORC data enclave, others
III. Potential Applications and Extensions (Continued)

3. “Develop protocol and statistical analysis plans for safety queries in multidisciplinary teams across different partners”

4. “Prospective Monitoring Tools (PROMPT)”

5. Usage of electronic healthcare data
   - Lessons learned from FDA for other analyses closer to the social sciences (e.g., patient utilization, other behaviors)
II. Potential Applications and Extensions (Continued)

6. Sequential looks and stopping rules

   a. Substantive social science work

   b. Adaptive/responsive design of survey fieldwork
      (per anticipated NISS workshop in 2016)
III. Questions for Speakers and Audience

Central questions:

1. Where do you see common ground?

2. Concrete steps to explore that common ground
   - Linkage with related current efforts by others
   - Prospective NISS workshop in 2016
III. Questions for Speakers and Audience

A. Comments or follow-ups on current discussion

B. Other areas not covered much in discussion

   1. Study of social-science interventions

   2. Meta-analysis
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