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## Motivation example

Experiment: Consider a vehicle being driven over a road with two major potholes.
Data:

- Field: Time-history curves of the resulting forces in the tested vehicle,
- Computer model: Time curves obtained when running the model at different design points.



## Example of input parameters

| Parameter | Type (label) | Uncertainty |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Damping 1 (force dissipation) | Calibration $\left(u_{1}\right)$ | $15 \%$ |
| Damping 2 (force dissipation) | Calibration $\left(u_{2}\right)$ | $15 \%$ |
| Bushing Stiffness (Voided) | Unmeasured $\left(x_{1}\right)$ | $15 \%$ |
| Bushing Stiffness (Non-Voided) | Unmeasured $\left(x_{2}\right)$ | $10 \%$ |
| Ride Height | Measured | $10 \%$ |
| Front rebound travel until Contact | Unmeasured $\left(x_{3}\right)$ | $5 \%$ |
| Front rebound bumper stiffness | Unmeasured $\left(x_{4}\right)$ | $8 \%$ |
| Rear Spring Stiffness | Measured | $10 \%$ |
| Sprung Mass | Unmeasured $\left(x_{5}\right)$ | $5 \%$ |
| Unsprung Mass | Unmeasured $\left(x_{6}\right)$ | $12 \%$ |
| Body Pitch Inertia | Unmeasured $\left(x_{7}\right)$ | $12 \%$ |

## Questions

- Is a particular computer model correct?, $\longrightarrow$ Wrong question
- We ask: Does it provide predictions that are accurate enough for the intended use(s), including both bias and uncertainty?.
- $P(\mid$ prediction - truth $\mid<\delta)>\alpha$ ?
$\delta=$ tolerable difference
$\alpha=$ assurance/confidence,
- Can the field experiment be reduced/extrapolated?

SAVE-2 provides answers

## Steps of the analysis

- Inputs,
- Data registration and Wavelet Decomposition,
- Response Surface Approximation,
- Bayesian Analysis
- Outputs and plots.


## SAVE-2



## Data Registration

Field and model-run curves should occur at the same "locations".
Steps

1. Convert time-histories to distance-histories,
2. Compute the average of model runs as reference curve,
3. Align curves to match the peaks of both major potholes.
4. Wavelet representation of the curves

## Representation of the data in the analysis

The $m$ computer model and $f$ field response curves are represented as

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{M}\left(\boldsymbol{z}_{j} ; d\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{W}} w_{i}^{M}\left(\boldsymbol{z}_{j}\right) \psi_{i}(d), & j=1, \ldots, m \\
y_{r}^{F}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{*} ; d\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{W}} w_{i r}^{F}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right) \psi_{i}(d), & r=1, \ldots, f
\end{aligned}
$$

where $w_{i}^{M}\left(\boldsymbol{z}_{j}\right)$ and $w_{i r}^{F}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right)$ are the wavelet coefficients and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{7}\right) \text { key vehicle characteristics } \\
& \boldsymbol{u}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \text { unknown computer model calibration parameters } \\
& \boldsymbol{z}=(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{u})
\end{aligned}
$$

## Bayesian analysis

We use a Bayesian Gaussian Spatial Process approximation to each of the model wavelet coefficient function $w_{i}^{M}(\boldsymbol{z})$. Its posterior, given GASP parameters and model-run data, at a new input $\boldsymbol{z}$ is

$$
N\left(w_{i}^{M}(\boldsymbol{z}) \mid \widehat{\mu}_{i}(\boldsymbol{z}), \widehat{V}_{i}(\boldsymbol{z})\right)
$$

For the $i^{t h}$ wavelet coefficient

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{i}^{R}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right) & =w_{i}^{M}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}, u^{*}\right)+b_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right) \\
w_{i r}^{F}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right) & =w_{i}^{R}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right)+\varepsilon_{i r} \quad r=1, \ldots, f .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}, \boldsymbol{u}^{*}\right)$ are the "true" but unknown parameters.

## Posterior distribution

- Given the observed data $D=\left\{\bar{w}_{i}^{F}, S_{i}^{2}, \widehat{\mu}_{i}(\cdot), \widehat{V}(\cdot): i \in \mathcal{W}\right\}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi\left(\boldsymbol{w}^{M *}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{z}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \mid D\right)=\pi\left(\boldsymbol{w}^{M *} \mid \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{z}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\theta}, D\right) \cdot \pi\left(\boldsymbol{b} \mid \boldsymbol{z}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\theta}, D\right) \cdot \pi\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \mid D\right) \\
& \boldsymbol{\theta}=\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}, \boldsymbol{\tau}^{2}\right) \text { are the variances of the errors. }
\end{aligned}
$$

- The outputs of the MCMC are

$$
\left\{\left(\boldsymbol{w}^{M *}\right)^{h},(\boldsymbol{b})^{h},\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right)^{h},\left(\boldsymbol{u}^{*}\right)^{h},(\boldsymbol{\theta})^{h}\right\}_{h=1}^{N}
$$

- From these we reconstruct the bias curves

$$
b^{(h)}(d)=\sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{W}} b_{i}^{(h)} \psi_{i}(d)
$$



- The posterior sample of bias-corrected predictions of reality is

$$
\left(y^{R}\right)^{(h)}(d)=\sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{W}}\left(\left(w_{i}^{M *}\right)^{(h)}+b_{i}^{(h)}\right) \psi_{i}(d), \quad h=1, \ldots, N .
$$



- The posterior sample of individual (field) bias-corrected prediction curves is

$$
\left(y^{F}\right)^{(h)}(d)=\sum^{\mathcal{W}}\left(\left(w_{i}^{M *}\right)^{(h)}+b_{i}^{(h)}+\epsilon_{i}^{(h)}\right) \psi_{i}(d), \quad h=1, \ldots, N .
$$



## Extrapolations: under different conditions



## Example of an input file

\$File: C:/XXX/PX11tk1.ascii
!DATA TYPE: TIME-HISTORY!
!NUMBER OF CHANNELS: 4!
!SAMPLE RATE: 409.6!
!TOTAL NUMBER OF POINTS/CHANNELS: 40960!
\$CHANNEL DESCRIPTION FULL SCALE UNITS POLARITY

1 RFST 41654.980

2 RRTL 51384.940

3 RFDL 33160.090

4 VEHS 130.000 mp
\$ Time CH 1 CH 2 CH 3 CH 4
!BEGIN DATA:!
$.00000010 .175-1.56915 .187 .004$

## System Requirements

- The R statistical software package (version 1.8.1 or higher): available under GNU-GPL terms..
- The wavethresh package (version 2.2-8 or higher) for R : available under GNU-GPL terms.
- The ATLAS (Automatically Tuned Linear Algebra Software) library: available under GNU-GPL terms.
- The GNU Scientific Library: available under GNU-GPL terms.


## THANKS!

