

Assessing Interviewer Effects in the BRFSS

Ting Yan, Antonia Warren, Carol Pierannunzi, Doug Williams, Jennifer Crafts; Westat

Sonya Gamble; CDC

June 11, 2019

Agenda

- 1. Define Interviewer Effects
- 2. Discuss Trends in the Literature
- 3. Outline Research Questions
- 4. Describe Data Source
- 5. Describe Analytic Methods
- 6. Present Results
- 7. Present Conclusion and Potential Next Steps

> Interviewer effects refers to the variability in survey estimates that is attributed to differences between the interviewers.

- > There are a variety of ways the interviewers can introduce error during the survey process such as;
 - *Measurement Error
 - Coverage Error
 - Non-response Error
 - Processing Errors

> Deff_{int} = $1+(m-1)P_{int}$

3

- Overall, researchers find that survey questions with certain characteristics are associated with higher interviewer effects such as (West and Blom 2017);
 - Attitudinal
 - Sensitive
 - Ambiguous
 - Complex
 - Open-Ended

Are there interviewer effects on survey questions with various characteristics (e.g. different levels of sensitivity and different response format) and does this vary by;

1. The Productivity of Interviewers (e.g. completion rates)?

5

Data Source

- > Cross-sectional telephone survey in the U.S. that state health departments conduct
- > Initiated by the CDC to collect health data
- > Analysis is restricted to data from 2017 for three states (Connecticut, Texas, and Washington)
- > Response Rate Per State (RR4):
 - Connecticut 37.1%
 - Texas 40%
 - Washington 39.6%

Analytic Methods

8

> Multi-level Models are conducted to estimate the between (σ_{int}^2) and within (σ^2) interviewer variance so that P_{int} can be calculated.

$$\rho_{int} = \frac{\sigma_{int}^2}{\sigma_{int}^2 + \sigma^2}$$

> Response Format Grouping:

- 9 Binary Variables: *Health Plan Coverage, Arthritis, Depression, Difficulty Concentrating, Difficulty Walking, Smoked 100 Cigarettes, Currently Married, Income, and Sexual Orientation
- 8 Continuous/Scale Variables: Smoking Frequency, General Health, Physical Health, Mental Health, Poor Health, Height, Weight, and Drinking
- > Perceived Sensitivity Grouping:
 - 4 Highly Sensitive: Smoking Frequency, Smoked 100 Cigarettes, Sexual Orientation, and Drinking
 - 7 Moderately Sensitive: Depression, Difficulty Concentrating, Income, Physical Health, Mental Health, Poor Health, and Weight
 - 6 Not Sensitive/Low: *Health Plan Coverage, Arthritis, Difficulty Walking, General Health, Currently Married, and Height

*Analyzed alone in final results

Productivity of Interviewers and Response Time

> Interviewer Productivity

- Interviewer is considered highly productive if they completed 59 cases or more.
- If they completed less than this then they are considered less productive.

Results









Discussion

> Overall, interviewer effects across estimates appear to be small in magnitude but there are relevant differences in the size of interviewer effects by interviewer productivity level.

> Next Steps Include...

- Looking at interviewer effects on the recruitment process (contact and cooperation rates)
- Assess if there is a relationship between interviewer effects on recruitment and interviewer effects on survey estimates by examining the correlation between interviewer level average of predicted contact/cooperation rates and interviewer level average of predicted survey responses.



Thank You

Antonia Warren AntoniaWarren@Westat.com

Ting Yan Ting Yan@Westat.com