

Mode Effects in an Embedded Experiment

Peter Lundquist, Statistics Sweden

ITSEW 2012 Santpoort, the Netherlands













Introduction

- The survey: The Work Environment 2011
- Task: From Mail to Web/Mail
- Embedded Experiment
 - What to Include in the Publication?
- Mode Evaluation
 - Who Chooses Mail?
 - Test of mode effects
 - Is Mixed Mode Better?



The Survey: The Work Environment 2011



Statistics Sweden

Statistiska centralbyrån

Task: From Mail to Web/Mail

Goal to go from mail to web/mail

- Secure statistical production
 - Two random subsamples, power calculations^{*)}
 - MAIL: 60% of sample
 - WEB/MAIL: 40% of sample
 - Test, and if OK use both samples

and

Investigate mode effects

Embedded Experiment: Treatments

Embedded Experiment

Statistics Sweden Statistiska centralbyrån **Cognitive work: Translating the mail questionnaire to a web questionnaire.**

Investigated central variables:

- Access to occupational Health Service?
- Pain in Back or Neck, during the last three months?
- Frequently, every day, Lifting Heavy Things?
- Exposed to Noise during work?
- In charge of own Working Pace?
- Own experience of Workload?

Indicators: Use both samples

Sample	Sample size	Response	BI ₁	dist _{r/nr}
Control: MAIL	7458	0.64	0.704	0.616
Experiment: WEB/MAIL	4930	0.56	0.708	0.589

The indicators depend on a given **x**-vector.

[**x**-vector: Gender, Age, Origin, Education, Civil status, Children, Type of Employment, Tradeunion, Public sector]

 BI_1 belongs to the R-Indicator family and $dist_{r/nr}$ measures the distance between the respondents and nonrespondents.

The indicators are found in Lundquist and Särndal (2012) Aspects of Responsive Design for the Swedish Living Conditions Survey, R&D report, Statistics Sweden

Estimation: Use both Subsamples

Statistics Sweden Statistiska centralbyrån

Some minor differences in subsamples when comparing $\overline{y}_{CONT+EXP}$ with \overline{y}_{CONT} for gender and three age-groups.

It was decided to use both subsamples in the production.

[\bar{y}_{\bullet} refers to the selected central variables]

New estimation: mode in auxiliary vector

The auxiliary vector is enlarged with the dummy-variable **Web-access**. Multiple phases with auxiliary information on higher phases forced us to use an estimated population marginal instead of information on sample level^{*}).

The auxiliary information is in this case estimated from: Use of Computers and the Internet by Private Persons in 2011, UCIPP(2011).

With new auxiliary variable: No significant changes in point estimates, the variance decreased in some groups.

^{*)} Särndal and Lundström (2005) *Estimations in Surveys with Nonresponse*, demonstrates the use of calibration information on sample level.

Evaluation: Who Chooses Mail?

- UCIPP(2011) estimated the access to Internet to 97%
- In WE(2011) the internet access in the experimental sample was about 87% [An interviewer effect?].
- Those who *chose* mail in the experimental sample are:
 - Older [50+], born outside the Nordic countries, part time workers, low educated

Statistics Sweden

Evaluation: Test of Homogeneity

Variables	Cont _{Mail} vs. Exp _{Web/Mail}	Exp _{Mail} vs. Exp _{Web}	Cont _{Mail} vs. Exp _{Mail}	Cont _{Mail} vs. Exp _{Web}
Health Service ^{a)}	Sign*)	-	-	Sign*)
Pain in Back or Neck ^{b)}	-	-	-	-
Lifting Heavy Things ^{b)}	-	Sign*)	Sign*)	-
Exposed to Noise ^{b)}	-	Sign*)	Sign*)	-
Working Pace ^{b)}	-	Sign*)	Sign*)	-
Workload ^{b)}	-	-	-	-

Proc Logistic in SAS, factors in x-vector included in models

*) Significant at the 5% level

^{a)} Logistic regression (yes/no)

^{b)} Multinomial logistic regression (ordinal variables, four or five alternatives)

Evaluation: Is Mixed-mode better?

Difficult to say

- Lower response rate but same representativity,
- Both selection effect and mode effect,
- Mixed-mode design [change to uncontrolled?],
- Improve access to web response,
- How to include mode in estimator?,
- Minor savings to use mixed-mode...