Trial, Interrupted

Dean Follmann

National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases

Ingram Olkin Forum Series

Trial Disruptions

- Trials can be disrupted so that normal procedures are not possible.
- Disruption can motivate design changes
- Changes should respect the basic tenets of clinical trials

Respect Randomization

- Randomized clinical trials are the greatest medical invention ever
- Respect randomization
 - Keep the groups pristine
 - Keep the intervention pristine
 - Keep the outcome pristine
- Be creative and responsive
- Unplanned blinded adaptations
 - Be careful
 - Use permutation to be sure

Example #1 mAbs for malaria

- Malaria is parasitic disease spread by mosquitoes
- Young children receive daily prophylactic drugs
 - Inconvenient
 - Non-compliance
- Monoclonal antibodies seasonal protection with 1 adminstration?
- Plan
 - Establish acceptable dose & efficacy in Bethesda
 - Do a field trial in Mali children

VRC 612: Human challenge trial of malaria mAb 🍸

• Part A: Dose Evaluation

• Part B: Human challenge

- 5 mg/kg
- 20 mg/kg
- 40 mg/kg

mAB

- Give volunteer malaria parasites

Challenge









Identify a mAb level where hardly anyone is infected

VRC 612: Human challenge trial of malaria mAb

- Part A: Dose Evaluation
 - 5 mg/kg
 - 20 mg/kg
 - 40 mg/kg
- Part B: Human challenge



• Give volunteer malaria parasites



VRC 612: Adaptation

- Part B: Dose Evaluation
 - 5 mg/kg
 - 20 mg/kg
 - 40 mg/kg
 - 40 mg/kg 6 months ago



Correlate of Risk



Identify a mAb level where hardly anyone is infected

Example #2: HIV prevention

- Blinded randomized trial of 3200 women at risk of HIV infection
 - Injectable cabotegravir: every 8 weeks
 - Oral tenofovir: daily
- Accrual 2 years
 - Follow-up 1.6 3.6 years
- Analysis
 - Cox model with treatment indicator and stratified by site
 - Intent-to-treat analysis

Follow-up

HIV infection



COVID-19 interruption



COVID-19

COVID-19 interruption



Adaptation based on COVID-19

- Blackout periods = disruption
 - Study product not available
 - Define periods of potential disruption
- An adjudication committee will define the period(s) of disruption for each site. The adjudication committee will be *blinded* to the number of infection events detected after April 2020.

Cox Model -> Anderson Gill

- Simpler version
 - Estimated treatment effect = $1 \frac{R_I}{R_D}$ $R_Z = \frac{Number of Events on Arm Z}{Total Followup on Arm Z}$
- Anderson Gill
 - Remove volunteers from the risk set during periods of disruption
 - Re-enter HIV-negative volunteers into the risk set after period of disruption

Patient	Start	Stop	HIV
1	0	4.3	0
2	0	3.6	1
3	0	2.4	0

Patient	Start	Stop	HIV
1	0.0	3.8	0
1	4.0	4.3	0
2	0.0	3.1	0
2	3.3	3.6	1
3	0.0	2.2	0

Anderson-Gill

Cox

FDA Guidance on Adaptation Adaptive Designs for Clinical Trials of Drugs and Biologics Guidance for Industry

- In general, adequately pre-specified adaptations based on noncomparative data have no effect or a limited effect on the Type I error probability.
- <u>https://www.fda.gov/media/78495/download</u>

Statistics in Medicine

Special Issue Paper



Received 30 September 2011, Accepted 4 February 2012

Published online 27 June 2012 in Wiley Online Library

(wileyonlinelibrary.com) DOI: 10.1002/sim.5361

Unplanned adaptations before breaking the blind

Martin Posch^{a*†‡} and Michael A. Proschan^b

Occasionally, things go so wrong in a clinical trial that a change must be made. For example, the originally planned primary outcome may be measured completely unreliably. Is there any recourse? One may still be able to salvage the trial using a permutation test if a change is made before breaking the treatment blind. The solution is not a panacea; we discuss the limitations and legitimate grounds for criticism. Still, when it is needed, the procedure is preferable to rigid adherence to a design that makes no sense. Published 2012. This article is a US Government work and is in the public domain in the USA.

Crossover trial



D = Period 1 Outcome – Period 2 Outcome

Data:
$$D_1$$
, D_2 , D_3 , D_4 , ..., D_{n-3} , D_{n-2} , D_{n-1} , D_n
 Z_1 , Z_2 , Z_3 , Z_4 , ..., Z_{n-3} , Z_{n-2} , Z_{n-1} , Z_n

Analysis: one-sample t-test using Y = Z D - (1-Z)D = Treatment - Control

Blinded adaptation

• Data: $D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4, \dots, D_{n-3}, D_{n-2}, D_{n-1}, D_n$ S_1^2 S_2^2 Blinded Sample variances

Blinded Adaptive t-test BAT

Use the smaller variance

$$\frac{Y}{\sqrt{S_{(1)}^2/(n-1)}}$$

The advantage

n	# cohorts	Type I error rate	n	# cohorts	Type I error rate
20	2	.08	20	4	.14
160	2	.06	160	4	.08
640	2	.05	640	4	.06

Real world example: Pick the 'most efficient' Wald test statistic Numerator unbiased Pick smallest denominator

Can we save ourselves from well-intentioned adaptations that cheat?

Permutation = Salvation

• Data: $D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4 = (0.4, -0.3, 0.5, -0.1)$ $Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_4 = (1, 0, 1, 0)$

• Analysis: Permutation Distribution of BAT

Permutation	Mean Difference \overline{Y} = Z D - (1-Z)D	$BAT = \frac{\bar{Y}}{\sqrt{S_{(1)}^2/(n-1)}}$	
1100	-0.075	-0.036	
1010	0.325	1.56	
1001	0.025	0.12	
0110	-0.025	-0.12	
0101	-0.325	1.56	
0011	0.075	0.036	

 $*S_{(1)}^2$

=0.13

Permutation Punishes Sneakiness

- Use Drug as proxy for treatment indicator
- `Blinded' adaptations:
 - Pick best of 17 different tests
 - Double the sample size if t-test p-value >0.05.
- Permutation Punishment
 - Null: Treatment has no effect on outcome, drug level





Blinded unplanned adaptations

- COVID-19 interruptions may lead to unplanned adaptations
 - Respect randomization
 - Be creative
- Unplanned blinded adaptations can be fine
 - Can use permutation distribution for inference
 - Read Posch & Proschan 2011





Summary

PALM Fire and Ice

- Compare 28-day mortality in EVD patients who receive investigational therapeutics relative to Zmapp
- 1:1:1:1 randomization to Zmapp, Mab114, Remdesivir, Regeneron Regeneron added in Protocol v.3.0 on 12Dec2018
- Sample size: 500 EVD patients
 85% power to detect a 50% improvement in 28-day mortality from 30% (Control) to 15%
- Multi-outbreak, multi-country

Trial Timeline



Katwa ETC Fire: 25 Feb 2019 Butembo ETC Fire: 27 Feb 2019



SITE/North Kivu, DRC



SCHOOL OF PUBLIC HEALTH UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA PALM Investigator Knollment Enrollment Enrollmen

Data flow in remote, high-conflict region

- CRFs uploads were current up to day of fire.
- Three randomization assignments day of fire.
 - Participant data recovered
- No missing primary outcome data

NIAID/DCR, Bethesda



INRB Coordinating Center, Kinshasa, DRC



